## Feather River College

## **Feather River College**

## PROJECT BID SUMMARY SHEET

	$\overline{}$			_								1		
BID DATE:	20-	Apr-23												
BID TIME:	1:0	0:00 PM												
Project:	Roof Replacement Project at Feather River College - Gymnasium Bldg.													
School:	Main Campus													
Project No:	#32	#32-C1, 23-01												
					BID S	UMMARY								
Contractor	(	Base Bid Item Gymnasium Bldg.	Owner's Allowance Contingency		Total Base Bid + Allowance	Pre-Bid Walk Attendence (Y/N) Non- mandatory	Addenda Noted No. 1	Designation of Subcontractors Form	Non- Collusion Affidavit	Change Order Acknowledge Form	Bid Bond	Bid Form	Project Warranty Form	Contractor DIR Registration No.
Ark Design	\$	268,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$	288,000.00	Υ	Υ	*Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	*Y	Y	Υ
Rua & Son Mech	\$	397,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$	417,000.00	N	Υ	*Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ
Above Board Constr & Roofing	\$	245,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$	265,000.00	N	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	*Y	N	Υ
Pacific Polymers Inc.	\$	148,818.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$	168,818.00	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
•				\$	-									
				\$	_									
				\$	_									
				Ť										
BID ANALYSIS NOTES: * - Denotes Error														
	T													
A total of four bid proposals were received by the FRC Business Office. All bid proposals were read aloud with recording of all submitted documents made in public. In initial														
review, all but one bid proposal had issues of incompletness or had significant errors. A) The bid proposal submitted by <b>Ark Design</b> failed to sign the bid proposal form and														
complete the subcontractor listing sheet noting either no subcontractors were being used or providing the name of a subcontractor. Failure to sign a bid form is cause for														
deterimation of a Non-responsible bid, a blank sub-listing sheet is cause for determinaation of a Non-responsive bid. B) The Bid proposal submitted by <b>Rua &amp; Sons</b> failed to														
complete the Subcontractor listing form indicating Alliance's role/description of work, missing DIR No., CSLB No and Exp. date. This error causes their bid to be determined Non-														
esponsive. C) The bid proposal submitted by Above Board Const. had numerous errors & omission (failure to complete bid form - Base Bid + Allowance they showed N/A,														
failure to include Sublisting form, No	on C	ollusion Form, C	Change Order Ackr	now	vledgment Form	and Project	: Warranty Form)	These errors	s and om	issions are	cause	for dete	ermination	
of a Non-responsible and Non-responsible	onsi	ve bid. The fina	l bidder. Pacific P	olv	mer Inc. contain	ed zero err	ors and was prop	erly submitte	d. Their b	oid was also	deterr	nined t	o the	
apparent low bid overall.			,	•				,						
2.2 0701dii.														
This project had a Non-Mandator	v Bir	d walk mandate	e contractors w	/er	e not required to	o attend pr	e-bid walk							
This project had a Non-Mandatory Bid walk mandatecontractors were not required to attend pre-bid walk.														
	+			+-										

Bid Openned By: GEP

Bid Recorded By: AW

valuators: KT AW

Approved By: KT AW